EC/CS 528: Cloud Computing

Overview of Virtualization

Instructor: Alan Liu





Q/A, Projects

- Project description
- Contact the mentor(s) and set up regular communication channels
- We will use GitHub to host open-source projects.
- We will use ZenHub w/GitHub for project management.
 Two lectures next week. By Michael Daitzman
- We will set up cloud access via NERC
- Questions?



Layers



Hardware level: How do you build cloud-scale systems?

"Operating system": How do you manage and run cloud applications? What about file systems?



Top-down view of the course



Virtualization



What is it?

- A software-implemented *virtual machine/computer* that you can run a full OS on top of it
- Started way back in mid 60s, share expensive computer between multiple single-user Oses
- Went away (outside of mainframe) when OSes got better....
- Then... came back in the 90s with VMware



Simple view



"hypervisor" or "virtual machine monitor"



What are the advantages of virtualization?



What can you do with virt'n?

- Run one OS on another (e.g., Linux on Win/Mac)
 - cool, but not a big use case
- Run lots of machines on a single machine
- Migrate, pause/resume, snapshot, AMI files...



Type 1 Hypervisor

Applications	Applications	Applications		
OS 1	OS 2	OS 3		
Hypervisor				
Hardware				





Type 2 Hypervisor

Applications	Applications	Applications			
OS 1	OS 2	OS 3			
Virtualization Platform		Applications			
Base Operating System					
Hardware					





What makes it **hard**?

• No.

Isn't VirtualBox just another program?

```
User programs can only run non-privileged

instructions

$ cat foo.c

main()

{

___asm__("movl %eax, %cr3"::);

}

$ gcc foo.c

$ ./a.out

Segmentation fault (core dumped)
```



Some background

- Virtual memory / Address translation
 - each process has separate address space
 - can't access:
 - other process memory
 - operating system memory
- User/Supervisor mode
 - enter on interrupt / page fault / system call
 - do protected stuff
 - exit back to user program



How to run an OS on top of another

Attempt 1 - Emulate every instruction

```
char memory [EMULATED MEM SIZE];
int R1, R2, R3, ...;
int PC, SP, CR1, CR2, CR3, ...;
bool S; /* supervisor mode */
while (true):
   instr = memory[PC];
   switch (instr):
     case "MOV R1 -> R2'':
       R2 = R1; break;
     case "JMP":
       PC = \dots; break;
     case "STORE Rx, <addr>":
            <paddr> = TLB[<addr>]
       if <paddr> is real memory:
         memory[paddr] = Rx
       else
         simulate IO access(paddr, Rx)
     .... Etc. (for ~1000 more instructions)
```



Trap and emulate ("classic" virt'n)

- Since you're emulating the same CPU...
- Run everything in **user mode**
- When privileged instruction traps, load the software emulator, run for one step, load results back into CPU and continue direct execution





- Worked great on IBM machines from 1969 onwards
- But... x86 and ARM are "unvirtualizable" CPUs
- Some of the privileged instructions don't trap when you run them in user mode
 - Some are no-ops
 - Others do some but not all of what the privileged version does



Solution 1: Binary translation

- Guest user mode direct execution
- When it tries to trap into the kernel, run all kernel code in emulation using BT
- That's what got VMware where they are today.



Faster emulation through BT

- Binary translation = JIT (Just-In-Time) compilation
- Translate code fragment X into code that does *what the emulator would do executing X*
- Typically expands the number of instructions executed (e.g., have to emulate MMU)
- Think of it as **eliminating the loop and switch overhead in the emulator**, plus you get to run an optimizer on the translated code.
- What Apple did for PPC->Intel switch (and 68k->PPC), [Rosetta]
- ~3x-10x slower for good implementations



Example

- ADD $R1+R2 \rightarrow R2$
- ADD $R2+R3 \rightarrow R3$
- MUL 2,R3 -> R3

LOAD Rx <- &emulated R1 LOAD Ry <- &emulated R2 LOAD Rz <- &emulated R3 ADD Rx,Ry -> Ry ADD Ry,Rz -> Rz MUL 2,Rz -> Rz STORE Ry -> &emulated R2 STORE Rz -> &emulated R3 RET



Virtualized Memory

- Problem need 2 levels of translation
 - guest virtual -> "fake physical"
 - "fake physical" -> real physical address
- But the CPU only has one level of translation
- Solution: fake page tables



Shadow Page Tables

- Emulated CPU (what the guest sees) has CR3 pointing to "fake" page tables
- Real CPU has CR3 pointing to real page tables
- On a real page fault, first check to see if there's a fake page table entry (guest virt -> fake phys)
 - If yes: calculate fake phys->real phys install guest virt -> real phys in real page table
 - Otherwise: pass the page fault to guest OS



I/O devices

- Device registers are just addresses in the physical address space
- So take page faults on them, and emulate what the real I/O device would do
- Can implement fake devices; e.g., disk in a file



Solution 2: Paravirtualization (vs. Full virtualization)

- When you don't really need full hardware virtualization
- Write an OS for running other operating systems
 - System calls are things like "add virtual memory mapping"
 - Modifying guest OS is relatively easy vs. sophisticated BT
- Modify guest OS to run on it
 - Linux/arch/x86/xen/*



Paravirtualized I/O devices

- Everyone uses them
- No need to rewrite the OS just load a device driver.
- Because taking page faults, disassembling instructions and grabbing values out of registers isn't the most efficient API



BT-based Full Virtualization vs Paravirtualization



Direct
Execution
of User
Requests

Binary Translation of OS Requests



Direct Execution of User Requests

'Hypercalls' to the Virtualization Layer replace Non-virtualizable **OS** Instructions



Solution 3: New hardware-assisted virtualization

- 3 privilege modes:
 - User mode
 - Supervisor mode
 - Hypervisor mode
- All sorts of settings for when to trap into hypervisor mode.





But I/O still sucks...

- Paravirtualization still requires trap to hypervisor
- Lets throw more HW at the problem:
 - IOMMU keep device from DMAing to wrong VM's memory
 - Single Root I/O Virtualization (SR-IOV)
 - Device exposes multiple set of registers mapped directly into VM especially used for NIC that can support 100s
 - Share enough information that, if OS running, interrupt goes directly to it.
- This is just getting common today
- Note not used in today's clouds; mostly for accounting reasons



Live Migration/vMotion

- Moving a VM from one computer to another
- Standard approach:
 - 1 copy pages while running, detect all modified pages
 - continue to copy until working set small
 - pause and finish copy
 - same as what we learned for fork
- Typically assumes network mounted storage
- Exploits standard networking techniques to advertise IP new location



Use cases

- Server consolidation if load imbalanced, can move VMs around
- Dealing with server failures
- Move VMs and power off servers
- Key feature: Resource pools
 - shares/limits/reservation
 - enables administrator to control resource use across many VMs
 - key to increasing utilization of data center
- Not used in most of today's clouds



Concluding remarks

- Virtualization has transformed data center
 - Server consolidation, management, high availability, ...
- Pervasiveness of virtualization resulted in hardware changing to support it.
- Today, with the most modern HW, virtualization is easy and there is little cost for virtualization.



Lessons learned

- 1. A level of indirection solves a huge set of problems; VMware became a \$30Billion dollar company
- 2. Mendel/VMware had no idea what they where unleashing; released one product at a time
- 3. Huge problem for large companies to eat their own children
- 4. Get it working, then HW will catch up...



Xen and the Art of Virtualization



Philosophy

- Support user applications unmodified
- Minor changes to OS kernels to reduce complexity & increase performance: paravirtualization
- Goal: support 100s of VMs on a single server
- Strong performance isolation
 - bug, fork bomb....



Experience

OS subsection	# lines	
	Linux	XP
Architecture-independent	78	1299
Virtual network driver	484	_
Virtual block-device driver	1070	-
Xen-specific (non-driver)	1363	3321
Total	2995	4620
(Portion of total x86 code base	1.36%	0.04%)

Table 2: The simplicity of porting commodity OSes to Xen. The cost metric is the number of lines of reasonably commented and formatted code which are modified or added compared with the original x86 code base (excluding device drivers).



Architecture

- Very simple base hypervisor
- Domain0 hosts the application-level management software & I/O control





Memory Management tricks

- Xen exists at the top 64MB of every address space
 - Avoid TLB flushing when an guest OS enter/exist Xen
- OS creates page tables, sends to Xen, has read access; no shadow page tables
- Writes are validated by Xen, changes can be batched



CPU tricks

- Guest runs at lower level of privilege: ring 1, while hypervisor is in ring 0 on x86; guest OS cannot directly execute privileged instructions
 - privileged instructions paravirtualized, OS needs to call Xen to install page table or yield processor
- System-call and page-fault handlers registered to Xen
- "fast handlers" for system calls, Xen isn't involved
 - goes to ring 1 bypassing ring 0; validated by Xen when installed in hardware table



Time and Timers

- Xen provides each guest OS with
 - Real time (since machine boot)
 - Virtual time (time spent for execution)
 - Wall-clock time
- Each guest OS can program a pair of alarm timers
 - Real time
 - Virtual time



Data Transfer: I/O Rings

- Each request has id
- Response has same id, so can handle out of order
- Queue descriptors with pointers to data enables zero-copy





Network

- Xen provides simple virtual firewall-router in hypervisor
- Dom0 controls network filters and routing rules
- Each domain has network interface attached to the router two I/O rings: 1) transmit, 2) receive
- To send a packet, enqueue a buffer descriptor into the transmit ring
- Use scatter-gather DMA (no packet copying)



Disk

- Only Domain0 has direct access to disks
- Other domains need to use virtual block devices
 - List of extents on disk translation table provided by Dom0
 - on disk request, xen translates, and enqueues the corresponding request
 - Disk DMAs directly into guest pages



Relative Performance



SPEC WEB99

network and disk intensive

SPEC INT2000 score

CPU Intensive

Little I/O and OS interaction

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

workstation

Concurrent Virtual Machines

Multiple Apache processes in Linux vs. One Apache process in each guest OS Similar performance to running seperate

processes



BOSTON UNIVERSITY

Performance Isolation

- 4 Domains configured with equal resources
- 2 running benchmarks
- 1 running dd disk bandwidth hog
- 1 running a fork bomb in the background
- the 2 antisocial domains contributed only 4% performance degradation
- Under native linux huge degradation



Scalability



Normalized aggregate performance of a subset of SPEC CINT2000 running concurrently on 1-128 domains



Comments

- Demonstrated good performance for I/O intensive, direct access through kernel
- Can support lots of concurrent virtual machines
- Good performance isolation
- Can run lots of CPU intensive applications



Results

- Released Open Source, company to support
- After 2 years trying to release rhype, Xen came up (not only IBM researchers got it).
 - IBM got permission to release rhype:
 - <u>http://www.techrepublic.com/article/ibm-hypervisor-software-makes-stealth-debut/</u>
 - If they agreed to work on Xen ⁽²⁾, and didn't accept patches
- Amazon created EC2 based on Xen open source
- Citrix acquired Xen for \$500 Million



Concluding remarks & lessons

- In paper Domain0 just control:
 - eventually host back end drivers... simply hypervisor
- All the cute tricks of Xen largely irrelevant
 - Shared address space HW support
 - Page flipping went away
- Xen was the right project at the right time:
 - OpenSource alternative to VMware, enabled first laaS cloud
- Open Source community critical:
 - Xen eventually failed... poor support for community, took too long to get into Linux, ...
 - KVM is eating its lunch now (Type 2)
- Stick to your guns:
 - Will never know what would have happened if I had just released rhype



Q&A

